Status of Cataloging Assessment: A National Survey

Mei Ling Chow  
Montclair State University, chowm@montclair.edu

Melissa De Fino  
Rutgers University, mdefino@libraries.rutgers.edu

Jian Wang  
Stockton University, jianrong.wang@stockton.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.montclair.edu/spraguelib-facpubs

Part of the Library and Information Science Commons

MSU Digital Commons Citation  
Chow, Mei Ling; De Fino, Melissa; and Wang, Jian, "Status of Cataloging Assessment: A National Survey" (2012). Sprague Library Scholarship and Creative Works. 22.  
https://digitalcommons.montclair.edu/spraguelib-facpubs/22
INTRODUCTION
In the winter of 2012, the VALE Bibliographic Control and Metadata Committee (BCMC) conducted a survey on the national cataloging listserv AUTOCAT on the assessment practices of cataloging departments. One hundred and twenty-three libraries responded to the survey. This poster illustrates the survey results and concludes that most institutions are collecting data on cataloging efficiency and quality, but few are assessing the impact of cataloging on institutional success and overall user experience. To better demonstrate the value of cataloging, assessment practices need to shift from measuring production of catalogers and quality of individual MARC fields to measuring impact on the user and the success of the overall institution. Assessment must show that Quality Cataloging = Quality Discovery.

DEMOGRAPHICS
Library Type
- Academic Libraries: 77.9%
- Public Libraries: 13.5%
Full Time Professional Catalogers
- 0: 6.4%
- 1: 43.6%
- 2-5: 38.2%

RESULTS

Percentage of cataloging departments that perform assessment
- Yes: 8.3%
- No: 33.1%
- Other: 58.7%

Areas that cataloging departments measure:
- Productivity (76.3%)
- Quality (57.7%)
- Impact on Users and Institutional Success (16.5%)

Top ten elements considered for evaluating bibliographic record quality

Methods used to assess impact of cataloging on institution and users

Methods or instruments used to assess cataloging efficiency

Methods used to assess quality

FINDINGS
- Most cataloging departments perform assessment. Productivity and quality are assessed most.
- Very few cataloging departments assess the impact of cataloging, which is needed to demonstrate the value and contribution of cataloging to the institution's success and users’ experience.
- The overwhelming majority of the respondents measure efficiency by gathering production statistics.
- Most quality assessment is done by supervisor review and user feedback.