2-2011

The association between blood pressure and years of schooling versus educational credentials: Test of the sheepskin effect

Sze Yan Liu
Montclair State University, lius@montclair.edu

Stephen L. Buka

Crystal D. Linkletter

Ichiro Kawachi

Laura Kubzansky

See next page for additional authors

ELSEVIER

The Association Between Blood Pressure and Years of Schooling Versus Educational Credentials: Test of the Sheepskin Effect

SZE YAN LIU, PHD, STEPHEN L. BUKA, SCD, CRYSTAL D. LINKLETTER, PHD, ICHIRO KAWACHI, MD, PHD, LAURA KUBZANSKY, PHD, AND ERIC B. LOUCKS, PHD

PURPOSE: Attaining a degree may offer greater opportunities for health than years of schooling alone. This study examines whether there is a degree, or "sheepskin," effect on the association between education and blood pressure.

METHODS: Multivariable-adjusted ordinal and linear regression models assessed associations of years of schooling and degree attainment with systolic and diastolic blood pressure in a sample of 552 adults ages 38 to 47 years.

RESULTS: Years of schooling was inversely associated with systolic blood pressure adjusting for age, gender and race ($\beta = -0.4$, 95% confidence limit: −0.7, −0.1 mmHg systolic blood pressure/year of schooling). Additional adjustment for mother's education, childhood verbal intelligence quotient, childhood health, and childhood socioeconomic status had minimal impact on effect size ($\beta = -0.3$, 95% confidence limit = −0.7, 0.0). However, years of schooling was no longer associated with blood pressure in the fully adjusted model which included additional adjustment for degree attained ($\beta = 0.0$, 95% confidence limit: −0.5, 0.4). In the fully adjusted model (including adjustment for years of schooling), individuals with a graduate degree still had significantly lower systolic blood pressure than HS degree-holders (e.g., $\beta = -9.2$, 95% confidence limit: −15.2, −3.2 for graduate vs high school degree). Findings were similar for diastolic blood pressure.

CONCLUSIONS: The association of years of schooling with blood pressure may be largely due to degree attainment rather than simply the knowledge and skills accumulated due to years of schooling alone.
Ann Epidemiol 2011;21:128–138.

KEY WORDS: Blood Pressure, Cohort Study, Education Classification, Educational Status.

INTRODUCTION

Prehypertension and hypertension are widely prevalent and well-known risk factors for cardiovascular disease and mortality (1–4). Previous research suggests that educational status is inversely associated with blood pressure and risk of hypertension (5–7), even after adjusting for income and other measures of socioeconomic status (SES) (8, 9). However, education is typically characterized by the use of either years of schooling or degree attainment but not both. Years of schooling and degree attainment differ importantly in their conceptualization of the underlying mechanisms linking education to health (10). "Years of schooling" implies that quantity matters, with each year leading to incremental increases in an individual's knowledge and skills (11, 12), independent of the highest degree attained. By contrast, a degree may signal greater knowledge or skill given similar years of schooling. Degree holders may also have greater social prestige than nondegree holders with the same years of schooling (12). The difference between those with a degree and those without a degree who have the same years of schooling is often called a "sheepskin effect."

Previous research on sheepskin effects have largely focused on economic outcomes such as wages. Sheepskin effects for wages have consistently been found in diverse settings (13, 14). In one of the first studies to test for sheepskin effects in wages, Hungerford and Solon (15) found significantly larger returns to years of schooling traditionally associated with a diploma in the United States (e.g., 12 years = HS degree, 16 years = BA/BS) compared with increases associated with other years of schooling typically associated with a degree (e.g., 14 years, 15 years). Subsequent studies in which the authors used information on both years of schooling and degree attainment found larger sheepskin effects compared with other studies that used years of schooling alone (16, 17).

Despite the extensive research documenting the inverse relationship between education and blood pressure, it is

From the Department of Community Health, Brown Medical School, Box G-SM 121, Providence, RI (S.Y.L., S.L.B., C.D.L., E.B.L.); and Department of Society, Human Development and Health, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA (S.Y.L., S.L.B., I.K., L.K.).

Address correspondence to: Sze Yan Liu, PhD, Department of Society, Human Development and Health, Harvard School of Public Health, 677 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115. Tel.: 617-998-1001. E-mail: szeliu@harvard.hsph.edu.

Received June 1, 2010; accepted November 7, 2010.

360 Park Avenue South, New York, NY 10010

1047-2797/$ - see front matter
doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2010.11.004

Selected Abbreviations and Acronyms

SES = socioeconomic status
BMI = body mass index
GED = General Educational Development
HS = high school
AA = Associate's degree
BA = Bachelor of Arts degree
BS = Bachelor of Science degree
CL = confidence limit

unclear whether there is a sheepskin effect for health. One study in which the authors included a separate variable for each year of schooling to assess whether there were discontinuities in the years of schooling that correspond to standard degree completion (e.g., 12 years, l6 years) did not find any evidence of a sheepskin effect for most health conditions, including blood pressure (18). However, there is very little information on the sheepskin effects on blood pressure in which degree attainment and years of schooling were both directly assessed (rather than degree attainment estimated using years of schooling alone). Consequently, the primary objective of this study is to examine whether degree attainment is associated with an additional health benefit beyond years of schooling by the use of a dataset with detailed information on years of schooling, degree attainment, and childhood characteristics. We also evaluated whether adulthood smoking, body mass index (BMI), or income, factors commonly associated with lower blood pressure and education, mediate the relationship between education and blood pressure.

METHODS

Sample

Participants were the children of pregnant women enrolled in the Rhode Island and Massachusetts sites of the Collaborative Perinatal Project between1959 and 1966 (19, 20). Participants in the Massachusetts and Rhode Collaborative Perinatal Project cohorts had similar occupational and educational levels as the overall population in the 1960 U.S. Census (20). Members of the Transdisciplinary Tobacco Use Research Center: New England Family Study project interviewed approximately 10% of these participants as adults in 1999 (21). A subsample of these Transdisciplinary Tobacco Use Research Center: New England Family Study participants provided additional information in the EdHealth Study (n = 618), which was conducted between 2004 through 2007 and designed to examine in detail pathways by which education influences health. Participants in EdHealth were selected with preference for nonwhite participants and sibling pairs who were discordant on attained level of education. The final sample (n = 552) for the current study was restricted to EdHealth participants who had complete data on all key study variables. Survey weights were not included in this analysis.

We excluded 66 participants who did not have blood pressure readings because they completed phone interviews (n = 41) or refused (n = 25). There were no significant differences between included and excluded participants for gender, race, mother's educational level, childhood SES, or childhood health ($p > .05$). Included participants had an average childhood verbal IQ that was greater than those who were excluded (verbal IQ score of 103.6 vs. 98.5, $p = .01$). All participants provided informed consent. This study was approved by IRB review at both Harvard and Brown University.

Outcome Variables

Blood pressure was our outcome of interest. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure were measured for seated participants, after a 5-minute rest, in their right arm resting at heart level, by the use of automated blood pressure monitors (VSMedTech BpTru, Coquitlam, BC, Canada) that have been demonstrated to have good validity and reliability compared with the auscultation method (22). Five blood pressure readings were obtained during 1-minute intervals. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure values were calculated as the mean of the lowest three blood pressure readings, excluding the first recorded blood pressure. Blood pressure was categorized as both a continuous and a categorical variable (systolic blood pressure: 0−119 mmHg, 120−139 mmHg, ⩾140 mmHg; diastolic blood pressure: 0−79 mmHg, 80−89 mmHg, ⩾90 mmHg). Cutpoints reflect standard definitions of normal, prehypertension and hypertension, respectively (23).

Exposure Variables

We examined two different dimensions of education, specifically years of schooling and degree attainment. Years of schooling was calculated by summing respondent's self-reported last completed grade in secondary school with self-reported years of schooling for each postsecondary school attended. For example, individuals who completed grade 12 and did not attend a postsecondary school were categorized as having a total of 12 years of schooling. Individuals with a General Educational Development (GED) were credited with the last completed grade plus self-reported completed years of schooling for each postsecondary school attended. This continuous variable was centered on the sample mean (15 years of schooling). Degree attainment reflected self-reported highest degree completed: less than HS, HS degree/GED, certificate,

associate's degree (e.g., AA), bachelor's degree (e.g., BA, BS), and graduate degree (e.g., JD, MD, MS, MSW). HS diploma and GED holders were grouped together because of the small number of individuals with a GED (n = 27) and because both groups conceptually possess the basic cognitive skills associated with a HS degree.

Potential Confounders

The following potential confounders were included in our analysis: age, gender, race/ethnicity (nonwhite vs white), mother's education (more than HS degree vs HS degree or less), cognitive aptitude (verbal IQ at age 7), childhood chronic health condition at age seven (yes/no), and childhood SES at 7 years of age. Mother's education was included as a potential confounder because it has been previously found to be strongly associated with the child's subsequent educational attainment and adult health status (24). Childhood SES is a composite index adapted from the Bureau of the Census on the basis of the family income and the education and occupation of the head of the household (25) and ranged from 0 (low) to 9.3 (high) in our sample. Childhood chronic disease was included to account for potential reverse causality whereby poor health as a child may lead to both lower educational attainment and adult poor health (26). Childhood chronic disease was determined by mothers' self-reports, the presence of any chronic health conditions noted in medical records, or diagnosis by study physicians during study physical examinations (27). Verbal IQ was measured by use of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, a standard measure with excellent reliability and validity (28), when the individual was 7 years old and age-standardized with a mean IQ score of 100 and an SD of 15 in the general population. Verbal IQ at 7 years of age should be largely unaffected by the effects of education since most individuals at that age had just started formal schooling. All of the continuous variables were mean centered so the intercept represents the outcome when all independent variables are at their mean values.

Potential Mediators

We examined three factors commonly reported to be associated with lower blood pressure and plausibly related to higher educational status as potential mediators: current income, daily cigarette intake, and BMI (29–31). Each individual was assigned the mean income in their categorical response. For example, we assumed individuals whose self-reported family income was between 25,000 and 34,999 made approximately 30,000. BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms/(height in meters)^2. Self-reported cigarette smoking was coded as a continuous variable (number of cigarettes per day).

Statistical Analysis

Results were contrasted from linear and ordinal logistic regression models. Linear regression was used to estimate the change in the population average of the outcome conditional on the given covariates in separate models for years of schooling or degree attainment, and in models which included information on both years of schooling and degree attainment. Individuals with a HS degree/GED were the reference group in the models with degree attainment. Assumptions of conditional normality and constant variance were tested and met for the linear regression models. Separate models were run for diastolic and systolic blood pressure. Results are presented for males and females combined because of the lack of statistical evidence that the effect differed by gender (p value for likelihood ratio test comparing models with *vs.* without interaction terms for sex = 0.75 for systolic blood pressure, and 0.60 for diastolic blood pressure respectively).

We assessed whether current income, BMI, and cigarette intake were mediators in the relationship between education and health using a multiple mediation model. This model simultaneously estimates the association between each mediator and the education exposure, along with the change in the outcome associated with the mediator in the fully adjusted model and calculates an indirect effect using the product of coefficients method (32, 33). The indirect effect is the reduction in the association of the exposure on the outcome due to the potential mediator. We summed the indirect effects for each individual mediator to estimate the total indirect effect. Examining the individual indirect effect provides evidence of whether education exerts its effects uniquely through any of the mediators examined in this study (32). Confidence Limits (CLs) were estimated by the use of the bias-corrected bootstrapping procedure with 5000 resamples adjusted for clustering from individuals in the same family. Bootstrapping avoids the common mistaken assumptions that the indirect effects are normally distributed and symmetrical (34). Statistical significance was determined by examining whether zero was within the 95% CL.

Ordinal regression models estimated odds of being in a given category or beyond (e.g., normal vs prehypertensive/hypertensive and normal/prehypertensive vs hypertensive) where the effects of all covariates are assumed to be constant across all outcome categories. The proportional odds assumption for these models was confirmed using either the Brant test or the likelihood ratio test.

RESULTS

Of the study participants (n = 552), 78% were white and 60% were female, with a mean of 15 years of schooling.

TABLE 1. Descriptive characteristics by highest degree attained, EdHealth Study*

	<HS degree	HS/GED	Certificate	Associate's	BA/BS	Graduate	*P* Value
n	45	150	123	95	104	35	
Years of schooling	11 (3)	13 (3)	14 (4)	16 (2)	18 (4)	21 (4)	<.01
Coefficient of variation	27	20	27.4	15	20	18	
Age, years	42 (1)	42 (2)	43 (2)	42 (2)	42 (2)	42 (2)	.72
Childhood SES	4 (2)	5 (2)	5 (2)	6 (2)	6 (2)	7 (2)	<.01
Verbal IQ at age 7	85 (16)	97 (13)	98 (14)	98 (11)	104 (12)	111 (16)	<.01
Non-Hispanic white, %	63	80	70	91	77	89	<.01
Male, %	38	46	42	28	42	34	.13
Childhood chronic infection, %	14	11	15	21	15	12	.50
Mother's education >HS degree, %	19	18	24	28	41	55	<.01
Current family income ⩾50,000, %	8	24	29	33	59	64	<.01
Adult health outcomes							
Systolic BP, mmHg	117 (14)	118 (19)	119 (18)	113 (14)	113 (15)	108 (11)	<.01
Pre-high/ high SBP, %	31	37	38	29	29	14	.08
Diastolic BP, mmHg	78 (12)	77 (12)	78 (13)	75 (10)	74 (10)	72 (9)	<.01
Pre-high/ high DBP, %	42	37	41	26	32	14	.02
Currently on BP meds, %	7	11	12	7	12	3	.5
Mean BMI	31 (9)	29 (6)	31 (9)	29 (6)	29 (7)	26 (5)	<.01
Obese, %	58	36	42	35	36	16	.02
Mean cigarette intake, # per day	7 (9)	5 (10)	5 (9)	4 (7)	2 (6)	0.2 (0.8)	<.01

BMI = body mass index; BL = blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; GED = General Educational Development; HS = high school; SBP = systolic blood pressure; SES = socioeconomic status.
*Point estimates shown as means or proportions (standard deviations). *p*-value for nonparametric test of trend across ordered educational attainment groups for continuous variables and for chi-square for categorical variables.

Approximately 92% of the respondents received at least a high school diploma/GED (Table 1). Higher educational credentials were associated with more years of schooling (Table 1). The coefficient of variation, the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean, across highest reported degree indicated there was variation in years of schooling within each degree category (range, 14–27). For example, among individuals whose highest reported degree was a high school diploma or GED, 52% had 12 years of schooling, 35% had more than 12 years of schooling, and 13% had less than 12 years of schooling (Appendix A).

Mean blood pressures for the study sample were 115 mmHg (SD, 16 mmHg) systolic and 76 mmHg (SD, 11 mmHg) diastolic (Table 1). Mean blood pressure for each degree category varied significantly ($p < .01$) with higher degree holders generally having lower blood pressure (e.g., average systolic blood pressure for high school vs graduate degree was 118 vs 108 mmHg, respectively). Average BMI and cigarette smoking also varied, with higher degree holders having lower mean BMI and smoking fewer cigarettes per day ($p < .05$).

Years of schooling were inversely associated with systolic and diastolic blood pressure in the unadjusted and partially adjusted models (Table 2). Each year of schooling was associated with an average decrease of 0.4 mmHg systolic blood pressure (95% confidence limit [CL]: −0.7, 0.1; Table 2) and an average decrease of 0.2 mmHg diastolic blood pressure (95% CL: −0.4, 0.1; Table 2) in the models adjusted for age, gender, and race. This estimate was only slightly attenuated when childhood characteristics (i.e., verbal IQ, presence of chronic health condition, and family SES at age seven) were included in the models (β = −0.3 mmHg (95% CL = −0.7,0.0) for systolic blood pressure and β = −0.2 mmHg (95% CL = −0.5, 0.0) for diastolic blood pressure; Table 2). By contrast, the estimate for years of schooling was greatly reduced in the fully adjusted models that included demographic characteristics, childhood characteristics, and highest degree attained (β = 0.0 mmHg; 95% CL: −0.5, 0.4) for systolic blood pressure and β = 0.0 mmHg (95% CL = −0.2, 0.3) for diastolic blood pressure; Table 2). Models which substituted father's education for childhood SES did not significantly change effect estimates (Appendix B).

Degree attainment was inversely associated with systolic and diastolic blood pressure after adjusting for age, gender, race, mother's education, childhood verbal IQ, childhood chronic health conditions and childhood SES (e.g., β = −9.3, 95% CL: −14.3, −4.2 mmHg systolic blood pressure, β = −6.1, 95% CL: −10.0,−2.2 mmHg diastolic blood pressure for graduate degree vs. high school degree; Table 2). Importantly, the effect estimate for degree attainment in the fully adjusted model was similar to the effect estimates in the earlier models (β = −9.2, 95% CL: −15.2, −3.2 mmHg systolic blood pressure; β = −6.7, 95% CL: −10.8, −2.5 diastolic blood pressure; Table 2). To assess whether these results reflect residual confounding by IQ we conducted further analysis stratified by median split of verbal IQ at age 7 and found similar estimates (Appendix C).

Moreover, estimates were similar when we conducted the analysis on a restricted sample of participants who were not currently on any blood pressure medications (Appendix D).

Table 3 depicts the parameter estimates for the total and the specific indirect effects between years of schooling and blood pressure as mediated by current family income, cigarette intake and BMI. In the unadjusted models, the significant indirect effect for BMI (e.g., estimate = −2.4, 95% CL: −4.2, −0.6 for graduate vs HS degree) suggest that BMI may partially mediate the association between education and hypertension. However, these effects were no longer statistically significant in the fully adjusted models, suggesting that education does not have a unique effect on blood pressure through BMI in mid-life once individual-level characteristics are included.

In the ordinal regression models, only graduate degree was associated with significantly lower odds of being in a higher blood pressure category (odds ratio, 0.13, 95% CL: 0.03, 0.52 for systolic blood pressure; odds ratio 0.17; 95% CL: 0.05, 0.59 for diastolic blood pressure; Table 4). Estimates from models where the sample was restricted to individuals who were not on any medications for hypertension were similar (Appendix E).

DISCUSSION

This study suggests there may be a sheepskin effect for the association of education with systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Specifically, the association of years of schooling with blood pressure was markedly reduced after adjusting for educational degree attainment, while the association of educational degree attainment with blood pressure was not affected by adjusting for years of schooling.

Our findings of inverse associations between years of schooling and blood pressure accounting for commonly adjusted confounders (i.e., age, gender, and race) were similar to previous studies on measures of SES and blood pressure (6, 7, 35–37). For example, one study with a population sample from 29 countries found that every additional year of education was associated with a decrease of 0.13 mmHg in systolic pressure for men (6). However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the association between education and measured blood pressure by adjusting for early life characteristics (i.e., childhood verbal IQ, childhood health. and childhood SES) and by using information on both years of schooling and degree attainment. Our study results suggest that childhood potential common previous causes account for a small proportion of the effect size, whereas degree attainment accounts for a large amount of the effect for the association of years of schooling with blood pressure. Adjusting for years of

TABLE 2. Association of years of schooling and degree attainment with blood pressure from linear regression models (95% CL)

	n	Systolic blood pressure				Diastolic blood pressure			
		Model 1*	Model 2†	Model 3‡	Model 4§	Model 1*	Model 2†	Model 3‡	Model 4§
Years of schooling	531	−0.5 (−0.8, −0.1)	−0.4 (−0.7, −0.1)	−0.3 (−0.7, 0.0)	−0.0 (−0.5, 0.4)	−0.3 (−0.5, −0.0)	−0.2 (−0.4, 0.0)	−0.2 (−0.5, 0.0)	0.0 (−0.2, 0.3)
Degree attainment									
Less than HS	45	−0.8 (−5.9, 4.2)	−0.8 (−6.0, 4.4)	−3.6 (−8.7, 1.5)	−2.3 (−7.7, 3.2)	0.7 (−3.3, 4.7)	1.5 (−2.5, 5.4)	−0.2 (−4.6, 4.3)	1.2 (−3.5, 5.8)
HS degree/GED	150	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Certificate	123	0.9 (−3.6, 5.4)	0.0 (−4.4, 4.4)	0.7 (−3.8, 5.2)	0.8 (−3.8, 5.4)	0.3 (−2.8, 3.3)	0.0 (−3.0, 3.1)	−0.1 (−3.4, 3.1)	−0.0 (−3.2, 3.2)
Associate's	95	−5.2 (−9.2, −1.2)	−3.3 (−7.1, 0.6)	−3.4 (−7.5, 0.8)	−3.2 (−7.5, 1.1)	−2.8 (−5.6, 0.0)	−1.4 (−4.1, 1.4)	−1.8 (−4.7, 1.1)	−2.0 (−4.9, 1.0)
Bachelor's	104	−4.7 (−8.8, −0.5)	−4.5 (−8.3, −0.7)	−3.8 (−7.6, 0.0)	−3.7 (−8.0, 0.6)	−2.9 (−5.7, −0.1)	−2.7 (−5.3, 0.0)	−3.0 (−5.7, −0.3)	−3.3 (−6.2, −0.5)
Graduate	35	−10.0 (−15.1, −4.9)	−8.9 (−13.7, −4.0)	−9.3 (−14.3, −4.2)	−9.2 (−15.2, −3.2)	−5.6 (−9.5, −1.8)	−4.9 (−8.5, -1.4)	−6.1 (−10.0, −2.2)	−6.7 (−10.8, −2.5)

CL = confidence limit; GED = General Educational Development; HS = high school; SES = socioeconomic status.
*Model 1: Unadjusted.
†Model 2: Adjusted for age, gender and race.
‡Model 3: Adjusted for age, gender, race, mother's education, childhood verbal IQ, childhood health, and childhood SES.
§Model 4: Adjusted for age, gender, race, mother's education, childhood verbal IQ, childhood health, childhood SES, years of schooling and degree attainment.

TABLE 3. Estimated indirect effect with 95% CL for education and blood pressure through current family income, cigarette intake and BMI*

	SBP		DBP	
	Years of schooling	Graduate degree	Years of schooling	Graduate degree
Unadjusted				
BMI	−0.2 (−0.3, 0.0)	−2.4 (−4.2, −0.6)	−0.1 (−0.2, 0.0)	−1.2 (−2.4, −0.3)
Income	0.1 (0.0, 0.2)	0.5 (0.0, 1.8)	0.0 (0.0, 0.1)	0.3 (0.0, 1.4)
Cigarette intake	0.0 (−0.1, 0.1)	0.0 (−0.8, 0.7)	0.0 (−0.1, 0.0)	−0.2 (−0.8, 0.4)
Total indirect effect	−0.1 (−0.3, 0.1)	−1.9 (−4.0, 0.6)	−0.1 (−0.2, 0.0)	−1.1 (−2.4, 0.3)
Adjusted				
BMI	−0.1 (−0.4, 0.1)	−1.1 (−3.1, 0.8)	0.0 (−0.2, 0.1)	−0.6 (−1.7, 0.4)
Income	0.0 (0.0, 0.1)	0.3 (−0.2, 1.8)	0.0 (0.0, 0.1)	0.2 (−0.1, 1.4)
Cigarette intake	0.0 (0.0, 0.1)	0.0 (−0.2, 0.5)	0.0 (−0.1, 0.0)	0.0 (−0.3, 0.2)
Total indirect effect	0.0 (−0.3, 0.2)	−0.8 (−3.0, 1.5)	0.0 (−0.2, 0.1)	−0.4 (−1.7, 0.8)

BMI = body mass index; CL = confidence limit; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; SBP = systolic blood pressure.
*All models included the three potential mediators listed above as continuous variables. Adjusted models also include age, gender, race, mother's education, childhood verbal IQ at age 7, childhood chronic disease, childhood socioeconomic status, years of schooling and degree attainment. Bias corrected bootstrapped confidence limits adjusted for clustering by household, corrected for median bias, and skewness determined by 5000 resamples.

schooling has very little impact on associations of educational degree attainment with blood pressure.

Credential effects suggest other mechanisms link education and health besides simple knowledge accumulation. Degree holders may have learned more than nondegree holders with the same number of years of schooling. Alternatively, degree holders may have accumulated more material resources (e.g., better housing), more psychosocial resources (e.g., greater prestige within a community, greater personal control over daily life), or better health literacy as a result of their degree (38). The authors of a study in twins found that individuals in a working class profession had significantly greater systolic and diastolic blood pressure compared with their professional twins (37).

In this study, we did not find evidence that current income, smoking, or BMI mediated this relationship in the fully adjusted models. Previous research on whether the association between education and blood pressure is mediated by BMI has been mixed. The authors of one study reported that adult BMI did not explain the difference in prevalence of hypertension by education in males (1). Other authors found that BMI and/or waist circumference accounted for a reduction in the education–blood pressure coefficient ranging from approximately 28% to 50% (39, 40). These wide-ranging meditational estimates of BMI may be caused by differences in the methods used by each of these studies (e.g., assessing differences in the effect estimate size associated with the exposure in models with and without the mediator vs. assessing indirect effects through the mediator). In addition, our results may differ from previous research because of the comprehensive confounders that we were able to control for (e.g., childhood characteristics). Efforts to quantify the direct and indirect effects of education race on hypertension risk rely on strong assumptions, including 1) the mediators are themselves unconfounded and; 2) the mediators do not modify the

TABLE 4. Odds ratio (95% CL) of being in a higher blood pressure risk category

		SBP				DBP			
	n	Model 1*	Model 2†	Model 3‡	Model 4§	Model 1*	Model 2†	Model 3‡	Model 4§
Years of schooling	531	1.0 (0.9, 1.0)	1.0 (0.9, 1.0)	1.0 (0.8, 1.0)	1.0 (1.0, 1.1)	1.0 (0.9, 1.0)	1.0 (0.9, 1.0)	1.0 (0.9, 1.0)	1.0 (1.0, 1.1)
Degree attainment									
Less than HS	45	0.8 (0.4, 1.7)	0.8 (0.4, 1.9)	0.5 (0.1, 1.5)	0.6 (0.2, 2.0)	1.2 (0.6, 2.4)	1.4 (0.7, 2.8)	1.0 (0.9, 1.0)	1.1 (0.4, 2.9)
HS degree/GED	150	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Certificate	123	1.1 (0.6, 1.7)	1.0 (0.6, 1.6)	1.0 (0.6, 1.9)	1.0 (0.5, 1.8)	1.2 (0.7, 2.0)	1.2 (0.7, 2.0)	0.8 (0.3, 2.1)	1.2 (0.7, 2.2)
Associate's	95	0.7 (0.4, 1.1)	0.8 (0.5, 1.4)	0.8 (0.4, 1.5)	0.7 (0.4, 1.4)	0.6 (0.3, 1.1)	0.8 (0.4, 1.4)	1.3 (0.7, 2.3)	0.7 (0.4, 1.4)
Bachelor's	104	0.7 (0.4, 1.1)	0.7 (0.4, 1.1)	0.7 (0.4, 1.2)	0.6 (0.3, 1.1)	0.8 (0.5, 1.3)	0.8 (0.5, 1.3)	0.8 (0.4, 1.5)	0.7 (0.4, 1.3)
Graduate	35	0.3 (0.1, 0.7)	0.3 (0.1, 0.8)	0.2 (0.1, 0.6)	0.1 (0.0, 0.5)	0.3 (0.1, 0.7)	0.3 (0.1, 0.7)	0.2 (0.1, 0.7)	0.2 (0.1, 0.6)

CL = confidence limit; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; GED = General Educational Development; HS = high school; SBP = systolic blood pressure; SES = socioeconomic status.
*Model 1: Unadjusted.
†Model 2: Adjusted for age, gender, and race.
‡Model 3: Adjusted for age, gender, race, mother's education, childhood verbal IQ, childhood health, and childhood SES.
§Model 4: Adjusted for age, gender, race, mother's education, childhood verbal IQ, childhood health, childhood SES, years of schooling, and degree attainment.

strength of the direct effects of the exposure on the outcome (41) and standard epidemiological methods to estimate an indirect effect through a mediator (e.g., stratifying the exposure-disease association by values of the hypothesized mediator) may still lead to biased estimates (42). In addition, income or health behaviors at earlier ages may be more important in the relationship between education and blood pressure in mid-life than current status. Alternatively, other mechanisms which were not available in our study may account for the association through individual behavior (e.g., dietary intake) or individual psychosocial factors (e.g., hostility, social isolation and stress) or neighborhood conditions (e.g., crowding and noise, 43–45).

Our study had several limitations. First, reverse causality where poor childhood health leads to low educational levels and poor adult health is a potential alternative explanation of our results. However, we attempted to minimize this possibility by using a prospective cohort study design and adjusting for presence of childhood chronic illness. Similarly, we also adjusted for cognitive ability, another plausible confounder which is known to be strongly associated with both years of education and degree attainment. Despite these efforts, there may still be residual confounding, such as genetic heterogeneity, unaccounted for in our study. Third, because our sample is relatively young and the prevalence of hypertension increases dramatically among adults 60 years and older (46), our sample may be reflecting earlier onset of high blood pressure. Finally, our results may have limited generalizability. The health returns associated with degree attainment will depend on the larger social context (i.e., the value of a degree will vary according to culture and time).

The strengths of this study include the ability of our analyses to statistically adjust for directly assessed infrequently measure common prior causes, such as childhood intelligence, childhood chronic health conditions and childhood SES, thereby reducing the potential confounding impact of these factors. Furthermore, extensive quality control/quality assurance protocols were used for the biological and questionnaire measures, thereby improving the accuracy of the constructs assessed in this study.

These findings suggested that degree attainment may be substantially more important than years of schooling in predicting systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Despite the high rates of high school graduates going to college, only approximately one-half of college students in the United States graduate within six years, with even lower rates of college completion for socially disadvantaged students recorded (47). Persistent low levels of educational attainment in the United States (48) may be perpetuating health disparities in hypertension and subsequent cardiovascular risk because even small decreases in the average blood pressure have important public health consequences. According to one estimate, a reduction of 2 mmHg in the average diastolic blood pressure in the U.S. population could translate to a 17% lower prevalence of hypertension and a 15% lower risk of stroke (49). In this manner, educational policy that focuses on increasing educational attainment may have far-reaching health consequences. From a policy standpoint, identifying key aspects of degree attainment that are strongly associated with health provides a crucial point for intervention.

REFERENCES

1. Regidor E, Gutierrez-Fisac JL, Banegas JR, Dominquez V, Rodriguez-Artalejo F. Association of adult socioeconomic position with hypertension in older people. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2006;60:74–80.
2. Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: A meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. Lancet. 2002;360:1903–1913.
3. Hoffman A, Feinleib M, Garrison RJ, van Larr A. Does change in blood pressure predict heart disease? BMJ. 1983;287:267–269.
4. Wolf-Maier K, Cooper RS, Banegas JR, Giampaolo S, Hense HW, Joffres M, et al. Hypertension prevalence and blood pressure levels in six European countries, Canada and the United States. JAMA. 2003;289:2363–2369.
5. Dyer A, Stamler J, Shekelle R, Schoenberger J. The relationship of education to blood pressure. Circulation. 1976;54:987–992.
6. Stamler R, Shipley M, Elliott P, Dyer A, Sans S, Stamler J. Higher blood pressure in adults with less education. Some explanations from INTERSALT. Hypertension. 1992;19:237–241.
7. Sorel JE, Ragland DR, Syme SL, Davis WB. Educational status and blood pressure: The Second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1976–1980, and the Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1982–1984. Am J Epidemiol. 1992;135:1339–1348.
8. Winkleby MA, Jatulis DE, Frank E, Fortmann SP. Socioeconomic status and health: how education, income, and occupation contribute to risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Am J Public Health. 1992;82:816–820.
9. Conen D, Glynn RJ, Ridker PM, Buring JE, Albert MA. Socioeconomic status, blood pressure progression, and incident hypertension in a prospective cohort of female health professionals. Eur Heart J. 2009;30:1378–1384.
10. Galobardes B, Shaw M, Lawlor DA, Lynch JW, Davey Smith G. Indicators of socioeconomic position. In: Oakes JM, Kaufman J, eds. Methods in Social Epidemiology. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2006:47–85.
11. Card D. The Causal Effect of Education on Earnings. In: Ashenfelter O, Card D, eds. Handbook of Labor Economics. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science B.V; 1999:1802–1859.
12. Mirowsky J, Ross CE. Education, Social Status and Health. New York: Aldine de Gruyter; 2003.
13. Mora JJ, Muro J. Sheepskin effects by cohorts in Colombia. Int J Manpower. 2008;29:111–121.
14. Ferrer AM, CW Riddell CW. The role of credentials in the Canadian labour market. Can J Econ. 2002;35:879–905.
15. Hungerford T, Solon G. Sheepskin effects in the returns to education. Rev Econ Stat. 1987;69:175–177.
16. Park JH. Estimation of sheepskin effects using the old and the new measures of educational attainment in the Current Population Survey. Econ Lett. 1999;62:237–240.
17. Jaeger DA, Page ME. Degrees matter: new evidence on sheepskin effects in the returns to education. Rev Econ Stat. 1996;78:733–740.

18. Cutler D, Lleras-Muney A. Education and Health: Evaluating theories and evidence. In: Schoeni R, House JS, Kaplan GA, Pollack H, eds. Making Americans Healthier: Social and Economic Policy as Health Policy. New York: Russell Sage Foundation; 2008:29–60.
19. Hardy JB. The Collaborative Perinatal Project: Lessons and legacy. Ann Epidemiol. 2003;13:303–311.
20. Broman S. The Collaborative Perinatal Project: An overview. In: Mednick SA, Harway M, Finello KM, eds. Handbook of Longitudinal Research. New York: Praeger; 1984:185–215.
21. Kahler CW, Strong DR, Papandonatos GD, Colby SM, Clark MA, Boergers J, et al. Cigarette smoking and the lifetime alcohol involvement continuum. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2008;93:111–120.
22. Mattu GS, Heran BS, Wright JM. Overall accuracy of the BpTRU—an automated electronic blood pressure device. Blood Press Monit. 2004;9:47–52.
23. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL Jr, et al, the National High Blood Pressure Education Program Coordinating Committee. Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. Hypertension. 2003;42:1206.
24. Case A, Fertig A, Paxson C. The lasting impact of childhood health and circumstances. J Health Econ. 2005;24:365–389.
25. Myrianthopoulos NC, French KS. An application of the U.S. Bureau of Census socioeconomic index to a large, diversified patient population. Soc Sci Med. 1968;2:283–299.
26. Case A, Lobotsky D, Paxson C. Economic status and health in childhood: The origins of the gradient. Am Econ Rev. 2002;92:1308–1344.
27. Kubzansky LD, Martin LT, Buka SL. Early manifestations of personality and adult health: a life course perspective. Health Psychol. 2009;28:364–372.
28. Wechsler D. Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children; Manual. New York: Psychological Corporation; 1949.
29. Forman JP, Stampfer MJ, Curhan GC. Diet and lifestyle risk factors associated with incident hypertension in women. JAMA. 2009;302:401–411.
30. Bowman TS, Gaziano JM, Buring JE, Sesso HD. A prospective study of cigarette smoking and risk of incident hypertension in women. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;20(50):2085–2092.
31. Gelber RP, Gaziano JM, Manson JE, Buring JE, Sesso HD. A prospective study of body mass index and the risk of developing hypertension in men. Am J Hypertens. 2007;20:370–377.
32. Preacher KJ, Hayes AF. Asymptotic and resampling methods for estimating and comparing indirect effects. Behav Res Methods. 2008;40:879–891.
33. Binary mediation. Indirect effects with binary dv and/or mv. UCLA: Academic Technology Services, Statistical Consulting Group. Available at: http://www.ats.ucla.edu/stat/stata/faq/binary_mediation.htm. Accessed May 10, 2010.
34. MacKinnon DP. Contrasts in multiple mediator models. In: Rose JS, Chassin L, Presson CC, Sherman SJ, eds. Multivariate Applications in Substance Use Research. Mahway: Enbaum; 2000:141–160.
35. Berger MC, Leigh JP. Schooling, self-selection, and health. J Hum Resources. 1989;24:433–455.
36. Colhoun HM, Hemingway H, Poulter NR. Socio-economic status and blood pressure: An overview analysis. J Hum Hypertens. 1998;12:91–110.
37. Krieger N, Chen JT, Coull BA, Selby JV. Lifetime socioeconomic position and twins' health: an analysis of 308 pairs of United States women twins. PLoS Med. 2005;2:e162.
38. Kawachi I, Adler NE, Dow WH. Money, schooling, and health: Mechanisms and causal evidence. Ann NY Acad Sci. 2010;1186:56–68.
39. Stamler J, Elliott P, Appel L, Chan Q, Buzzard M, Dennis B, , et alfor the INTERMAP Research Group. Higher blood pressure in middle-aged American adults with less education—role of multiple dietary factors: The INTERMAP Study. J Human Hypertens. 2003;17:655–664.
40. Chaix B, Bean K, Leal C, Thomas F, Havard S, Evans D, Jégo B, Pannier B. Individual/Neighborhood Social Factors and Blood Pressure in the RECORD Cohort Study: Which Risk Factors Explain the Associations? Hypertension. 2010;55:769–775.
41. Kaufman JS, MacLehose RF, Kaufman S. A further critique of the analytic strategy of adjusting for covariates to identify biologic mediation. Epidemiol Innov Perspect. 2004;1:4.
42. Cole SR, Hernan MA. Fallibility in estimating direct effects. Int J Epidemiol. 2002;31:163–165.
43. Williams RB. How does lower education get inside the body to raise blood pressure? What can we do to prevent this? Hypertension. 2010;55:617–618.
44. McGrath JJ, Matthews KA, Brady SS. Individual versus neighborhood socioeconomic status and race as predictors of adolescent ambulatory blood pressure and heart rate. Soc Sci Med. 2006;63:1442–1453.
45. Cozier YC, Palmer JR, Horton NJ, et al. Relation between neighborhood median housing value and hypertension risk among black women in the United States. Am J Public Health. 2007;97:718–724.
46. Glover MJ, Greenlund KJ, Ayala C, Croft JB. Racial/ethnic disparities in prevalence, treatment, and control of hypertension—United States, 1999–2002. MMWR. 2005;54:7–9.
47. Hauptman A. Participation, persistence, and attainment rates: The U.S. standing. Int Higher Education. 2008;52.
48. Mare R. Changes in Educational Attainment and School Enrollment. In: Farley R, ed. State of the Union: America in the 1990s. Volume 1: Economic Trends. New York: Russell Sage Foundation; 1995:155–214.
49. Cook NR, Cohen J, Hebert PR, Taylor JO, Hennekens CH. Implications of small reductions in diastolic blood pressure for primary prevention. Arch Intern Med. 1995;155:701–709.

AEP Vol. 21, No. 2
February 2011: 128–138

APPENDIX A. Cross-tabulation of highest degree received by years of completed education

	Highest degree attained						
Years of schooling	<HS degree	HS/GED	Certificate	Associate's	BA/BS	Graduate	Total (n)
Unknown, n	7	3	11	0	0	0	21
Row %	33	14	52	0	0	0	
Column %	16	2	9	0	0	0	
Less than 12, n	26	19	12	2	1	0	
Row %	43	32	20	3	2	0	
Column %	68	13	11	2	1	0	
12 years, n	5	76	22	0	0	0	103
Row %	5	74	21	0	0	0	
Column %	13	51	18	0	0	0	
13 years, n	5	18	22	2	0	0	47
Row %	11	38	47	4	0	0	
Column %	13	12	18	2	0	0	
14 years, n	0	16	20	21	0	0	57
Row %	0	28	35	37	0	0	
Column %	0	11	16	22	0	0	
15 years, n	0	4	20	19	1	0	44
Row %	0	9	45	43	2	0	
Column %	0	3	16	20	1	0	
16 years, n	0	7	4	14	31	0	56
Row %	0	13	7	25	55	0	
Column %	0	5	3	15	30	0	
17 years, n	1	1	1	10	14	0	27
Row %	4	4	4	37	52	0	
Column %	3	1	1	11	13	0	
18 years, n	1	3	2	13	23	4	46
Row %	2	7	4	28	50	9	
Column %	3	2	2	14	22	11	
⩾19 years, n	0	3	9	14	34	31	91
Row %	0	3	10	15	37	34	
Column %	0	2	7	14	33	89	
Total	45	150	123	95	104	35	552
Row %	8	27	22	17	19	6	

GED = General Educational Development; HS = high school.

APPENDIX B. Association of years of schooling and degree attainment with blood pressure from linear regression models (95% CL)

	Systolic Blood Pressure		Diastolic Blood Pressure	
	Model 3*	Model 4†	Model 3*	Model 4†
Years of schooling	−0.3 (–0.7, 0.1)	0.0 (–0.4, 0.4)	−0.2 (–0.4, 0.1)	0.1 (–0.2, 0.4)
Degree attainment				
Less than HS	−6.7 (–12.5, −0.9)	−4.3 (–10.4, 1.9)	−2.1 (–6.9, 2.7)	0.0 (–4.9, 5.0)
HS degree/GED	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Certificate	0.1 (–4.5, 4.7)	0.2 (–4.5, 4.8)	−0.4 (–3.6, 2.9)	−0.3 (–3.5, 2.9)
Associate's	−3.2 (–7.4, 1.0)	−3.2 (–7.5, 1.1)	−1.2 (–4.1, 1.8)	−1.6 (–4.6, 1.4)
Bachelor's	−4.0 (–7.8, −0.1)	−4.0 (–8.3, 0.3)	−2.8 (–5.6, −0.1)	−3.4 (–6.2, −0.5)
Graduate	−9.7 (–14.8, −4.7)	−9.9 (–15.9, −4.0)	−6.2 (–10.1, −2.2)	−7.1 (–11.3, –2.9)

CL = confidence limit; GED = General Educational Development.
*Model 3: Adjusted for age, gender, race, mother's education, childhood verbal IQ, childhood health, and father's education.
†Model 4: Adjusted for age, gender, race, mother's education, childhood verbal IQ, childhood health, father's education, years of schooling, and degree attainment.

APPENDIX C. Association of years of schooling and degree attainment with blood pressure from linear regression models (95% CL) stratified by verbal IQ at age 7

	SBP				DBP			
	<Median IQ		⩾ Median IQ		<Median IQ		⩾ Median IQ	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted*	Unadjusted	Adjusted	Unadjusted	Adjusted	Unadjusted	Adjusted
Years of schooling	−0.3 (–0.9, −0.2)	0.2 (−0.5, 0.8)	−0.6 (–0.9, −0.2)	0.3 (−0.8, 0.3)	−0.2 (–0.6, 0.2)	0.1 (−0.4, 0.5)	−0.4 (–0.7, −0.1)	0.0(−0.4, 0.3)
Degree attainment								
Less than HS	−1.5 (–8.1, 5.1)	−5.6 (−13.4, 2.3)	0.6 (–7.4, 8.7)	−0.8 (−7.3, 8.9)	0.5 (–4.6, 5.5)	−4.2 (−10.5, 2.0)	2.4 (–4.0, 8.7)	6.3 (0.2, 12.4)
HS degree/GED	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Certificate	2.3 (–3.8, 8.4)	1.6 (5.1, 8.2)	−0.7 (–7.1, 5.7)	0.1 (6.4, 6.6)	1.9 (–2.1, 5.9)	0.9 (−3.6, 5.3)	−1.5 (–6.2, 3.2)	−0.7 (−5.5, 4.1)
Associate's	−5.6 (–11.2, −0.1)	−2.7 (−8.7, 3.3)	−4.7 (–10.8, 1.5)	−4.1 (−10.9, 2.7)	−2.7 (–6.5, 1.0)	−1.4 (−5.5, 2.6)	−2.8 (–7.2, 1.5)	−2.4 (−7.1, 2.2)
Bachelor's	−6.2 (–12.3, 0.0)	−6.3 (−12.7, 0.2)	−3.7 (–9.1, 1.7)	−1.8 (−8.1, 4.5)	−3.0 (–7.1, 1.1)	−3.8 (−8.3, 0.6)	−3.3 (–7.1, 0.6)	−3.1 (−7.5, 1.2)
Graduate	−8.9 (–17.2, −0.6)	−11.0 (−21.1, −0.9)	−10.1 (–16.3, −3.9)	−7.7 (−15.5, 0.1)	−4.2 (–13.2, 4.7)	−7.1 (−16.2, 2.0)	−6.6 (–11.2, −2.0)	−6.8 (−12.2, −1.3)

CL = confidence limit; DBS = diastolic blood pressure; GED = General Educational Development; HS = high school; SBP = systolic blood pressure.
*Adjusted for age, gender, race, mother's education, childhood verbal IQ, childhood health, childhood SES, years of schooling and degree attainment.

APPENDIX D. Association of years of schooling and degree attainment with blood pressure from linear regression models (95% CL) for individuals not currently on BP medications

	SBP		DBP	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted*	Unadjusted	Adjusted*
Years of schooling	−0.4 (–0.8, −0.1)	0.1(−0.4, 0.5)	−0.2 (−0.5, 0.0)	0.1 (−0.2, 0.4)
Degree attainment				
Less than HS	0.2 (−4.8, 5.1)	−2.0 (−7.6, 3.6)	1.4 (−2.7, 5.5)	1.7 (−3.4, 6.7)
HS degree/GED	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Certificate	1.5 (−3.0, 6.1)	0.6 (−4.1, 5.4)	0.8 (–2.4, 4.0)	0.0 (−3.4, 3.4)
Associate's	−4.3 (−8.3, −0.4)	−2.9 (−7.2, 1.4)	−2.3 (−5.1, 0.5)	−1.8 (−4.8, 1.2)
Bachelor's	−4.5 (−8.5, −0.4)	−4.8 (−9.0, −0.6)	−2.5 (−5.4, 0.4)	−3.8 (−6.7, −1.0)
Graduate	−8.2 (−13.3, −3.1)	−9.1 (−15.1, −3.0)	−4.3 (−8.3, −0.3)	−6.4 (−10.7, −2.1)

BP = blood pressure; CL = confidence limit; DBS = diastolic blood pressure; GED = General Educational Development; HS = high school; SBP = systolic blood pressure.
*Adjusted for age, gender, race, mother's education, childhood verbal IQ, childhood health, childhood SES, years of schooling, and degree attainment.

AEP Vol. 21, No. 2
February 2011: 128–138

APPENDIX E. Odds ratio (95% CL) of being in a higher blood pressure risk category for individuals not currently on BP medications

		SBP				DBP			
	n	Model 1*	Model 2†	Model 3‡	Model 4§	Model 1*	Model 2†	Model 3‡	Model 4§
Years of schooling	477	1.0 (0.9, 1.0)	1.0 (0.9, 1.0)	1.0 (0.9, 1.1)	1.0 (1.0, 1.1)	1.0 (0.9, 1.0)	1.0 (0.9, 1.0)	1.0 (0.9, 1.1)	1.0 (1.0, 1.1)
Degree attainment									
Less than HS	42	0.7 (0.3, 1.5)	0.7 (0.3, 1.7)	0.3 (0.1, 1.2)	0.4 (0.1, 1.8)	1.3 (0.6, 2.6)	1.4 (0.7, 3.1)	0.8 (0.3, 2.4)	1.1 (0.4, 3.5)
HS degree/GED	133	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Certificate	108	1.0 (0.6, 1.7)	0.9 (0.5, 1.7)	0.9 (0.5, 1.8)	0.8 (0.4, 1.7)	1.2 (0.7, 2.0)	1.2 (0.7, 2.1)	1.2 (0.6, 2.2)	1.0 (0.5, 2.0)
Associate's	88	0.6 (0.3, 1.1)	0.8 (0.4, 1.4)	0.7 (0.3, 1.4)	0.6 (0.3, 1.3)	0.6 (0.4, 1.2)	0.8 (0.5, 1.5)	0.8 (0.4, 1.6)	0.7 (0.4, 1.4)
Bachelor's	92	0.6 (0.3, 1.1)	0.6 (0.4, 1.1)	0.6 (0.3, 1.1)	0.5 (0.2, 1.0)	0.8 (0.4, 1.4)	0.8 (0.5, 1.4)	0.8 (0.4, 1.5)	0.6 (0.3, 1.2)
Graduate	34	0.3 (0.1, 0.8)	0.3 (0.1, 0.9)	0.2 (0.1, 0.6)	0.1 (0.0, 0.5)	0.3 (0.1, 0.9)	0.3 (0.1, 0.9)	0.2 (0.1, 0.8)	0.2 (0.0, 0.6)

CL = confidence limit; DBS = diastolic blood pressure; GED = General Educational Development; HS = high school; SBP = systolic blood pressure.
*Model 1: Unadjusted.
†Model 2: Adjusted for age, gender, and race.
‡Model 3: Adjusted for age, gender, race, mother's education, childhood verbal IQ, childhood health, and childhood SES.
§Model 4: Adjusted for age, gender, race, mother's education, childhood verbal IQ, childhood health, childhood SES, years of schooling, and degree attainment.