

Montclair State University Montclair State University Digital Commons

Department of Social Work and Child Advocacy Faculty Scholarship and Creative Works Department of Social Work and Child Advocacy

2017

Transgressing gender norms in addiction treatment: Transgender rights to access within gender segregated facilities.

Sara Matsuzaka Montclair State University, matsuzakas@mail.montclair.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.montclair.edu/social-work-and-child-

advocacy-facpubs

Part of the Social Work Commons

MSU Digital Commons Citation

Matsuzaka, Sara, "Transgressing gender norms in addiction treatment: Transgender rights to access within gender segregated facilities." (2017). *Department of Social Work and Child Advocacy Faculty Scholarship and Creative Works*. 172.

https://digitalcommons.montclair.edu/social-work-and-child-advocacy-facpubs/172

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Social Work and Child Advocacy at Montclair State University Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Social Work and Child Advocacy Faculty Scholarship and Creative Works by an authorized administrator of Montclair State University Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@montclair.edu.

Transgressing gender norms in addiction treatment: Transgender rights to access within gender-segregated facilities

Sara Matsuzaka

Fordham University, New York, New York

ABSTRACT

Despite having disproportionately high rates of substance use disorder and co-occurring health and mental health issues compared to the general population, transgender individuals experience significant barriers to accessing and engaging in addiction treatment programs. Inpatient addiction treatment centers were originally designed to treat substance-dependent heterosexual cisgender populations and, as such, feature gender-segregated housing, bathrooms, and treatment sessions. The heteronormative structural and programmatic barriers, combined with exposures to stigmatic and prejudicial attitudes, may dissuade transgender populations from benefiting from the addiction treatment they so direly need. The purpose of this article is to examine the current policy debate surrounding the rights of transgender individuals in public accommodations in the context of inpatient addiction treatment centers.

KEYWORDS

addiction treatment; policy; substance use disorder; transgender

Heteronormative perspectives remain deeply rooted in the present-day features of inpatient addiction treatment centers such as with gendersegregated residential housing, bathrooms, and group sessions (Herman, 2013). Gender-segregated structural and programmatic components are among a multitude of barriers that transgender individuals face when engaging in inpatient addiction treatment. Additional issues this population may face include the inability to continue hormone replacement therapy, the right to use their chosen name rather than birth name, exposures to stigma and discrimination, and cultural incompetence among staff and administrators (Eliason & Hughes, 2004; Herman, 2013; Holman & Goldberg, 2006; Sperber, Landers, & Lawrence, 2005). There is evidence that approximately 50% of substance-abusing and dependent transgender individuals are discouraged from seeking addiction treatment due to expectations of stigma (Cochran & Cauce, 2006; Lombardi & Van Servellen, 2000; Lurie, 2005; Sperber et al., 2005). This statistic is particularly alarming considering the high rates of substance use disorder (Operario, Nemoto, Iwamoto, & Moore, 2011;

Operario & Nemoto, 2005; Ramirez-Valles, Garcia, Campbell, Diaz, & Heckathorn, 2008) and co-occurring mental health concerns within the transgender population (Budge, Adelson, & Howard, 2013; Clements-Nolle, Marx, Guzman, & Katz, 2001; Hepp, Kraemer, Schnyder, Miller, & Delsignore, 2005; Mustanski, Garofalo, & Emerson, 2010; Nemoto, Bodeker, & Iwamoto, 2011.

Recently, there has been increased policy attention to the rights of transgender individuals in public accommodations, particularly surrounding North Carolina's House Bill 2, which seeks to deny transgender individuals the right to use bathrooms that correspond with their identified gender (Mallory & Sears, 2016). At the center of this and similar debates is the collision of traditional gender binary views with emergent perspectives that actively challenge conventional gender norms (Herman, 2013; Mizock & Hopwood, 2016). The results of such policy discussions could have significant ramifications for the policies and infrastructures of public and private institutions nationwide (Herman, 2013; Mallory & Sears, 2016).

The purpose of this article is to examine the current policy debate surrounding the rights of transgender individuals in public accommodations in the context of inpatient addiction treatment centers. To achieve this end, this article will (a) review key demographics, terminology, and characteristics related to the transgender population; (b) present minority stress theory as a framework for examining how discrimination within public accommodations can lead to substance use disorder and comorbid issues among transgender individuals; (c) examine the context of the policy debate around transgender-friendly bathrooms; and (d) examine the state of research regarding the effect of gender segregation on transgender populations in inpatient addiction treatment.

Overview of transgender population

Demographics

Recent estimates indicate that approximately 0.6% of adults in the United States (1.4 million individuals) identify as transgender (Flores, Herman, Gates, & Brown, 2016). Age is associated with the likelihood of identifying as transgender, with 0.7% of individuals age 18–24, 0.6% of individuals age 25–64, and 0.5% of adults age 65 and older identifying as transgender (Flores et al., 2016). The term *transgender* describes individuals whose gender identity is not congruent with their birth sex (Bockting, 1999; Mayer et al., 2008). Gender identity can be defined as an individual's perception of their gender, which can include female, male, both female and male, or neither female nor male (American Psychological Association Task Force on Gender Identity and Gender Variance, 2008). Gender expression involves how an individual

presents their gender (e.g., mannerisms, clothing), which may or may not be congruent with expectations based on the binary gender system (American Psychological Association Task Force on Gender Identity and Gender Variance, 2008). The gender binary system classifies behaviors related to gender and sexuality according to the assumed alignment between birth sex, gender, and sexuality (Nuttbrock, 2012).

Gender identity and sexual orientation

Scholarly attention pertaining to the intersections between gender nonconformity and sexual orientation has been increasing, particularly research examining the diversity of sexual identities among transgender populations (Meier & Labuski, 2013; Mizock & Hopwood, 2016; Nagoshi, Nagoshi, Terrell, & Brzuzzy, 2014; Reisner, Perkovich, & Mimiaga, 2010). This research demonstrates evidence of the fluidity of sexuality throughout the gender affirmation process (Diamond, Pardo, & Butterworth, 2011; Meier, Pardo, Labuski, & Babcock, 2013; Mizock & Hopwood, 2016; Nagoshi et al., 2014). Despite common errors with the conflation of gender identity and sexual orientation, being transgender does not directly correspond with being homosexual (Mizock & Fleming, 2011, Mizock & Hopwood, 2016).

Transgenderism challenges heteronormative institutions and gender binary beliefs (Mizock & Hopwood, 2016), and thus, it can be met with transphobia (Grant et al., 2011) along with homophobia based on a conflation of gender identity and sexual orientation (Mizock & Lewis, 2008). Experiences with transphobia and homophobia, along with the intersecting oppressive force of racism, are linked to health and mental health disparities among LGBT populations (Dilley, Simmons, Boysun, Pizancani, & Stark, 2010; Fredriksen-Goldsen et al., 2014; Grant, 2010; Institute of Medicine, 2011; Lee, 2000; Lenning & Buist, 2013; Nuttbrock, Rosenblum, & Blumenstein, 2002; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (USDHHS), 2012).

Addiction and co-occurring issues

Transgender populations experience mental health issues at a higher rate than cisgender populations (Bockting, Miner, Swinburn-Romine, Hamilton, & Coleman, 2013; Fredriksen-Goldsen et al., 2014). Transgender individuals have high rates of depression (Budge et al., 2013; Clements-Nolle et al., 2001; Nemoto et al., 2011; Nuttrbrock et al., 2010), anxiety (Budge et al., 2013; Hepp et al., 2005; Mustanski et al., 2010), substance use disorder (Operario & Nemoto, 2005; Ramirez-Valles et al., 2008), HIV and AIDS (Clements-Nolle et al., 2001; Edwards, Fisher, & Reynolds, 2007; Garofolo, Deleon, Osmer, Doll, & Harper, 2006; Herbst et al., 2008; Nuttbrock et al., 2009; Operario, Nemoto, Iwamoto, & Moore, 2011; Schulden et al., 2008), and suicidal behaviors (Conron, Mimiaga, & Landers, 2010; Lee, 2000; Liu & Mustanski, 2012). Grant (2010) reports that 41% of transgender individuals reported attempting suicide compared to 2% of the U.S. general population. Lee (2000) attributes this increased risk of suicide to the repercussions of a lifetime of exposure to stigma and limited supportive resources.

Gender identity development

Transgender individuals often face challenges associated with navigating the complex process of gender identity development and affirmation, whereby they attempt to resolve an inner conflict regarding a perceived misalignment of their "psychological gender" and their "biological sex" (Diamond & Butterworth, 2008). Transgender individuals may or may not choose to identify as exclusively either male or female (Valentine, 2007, p. 33) or to transition into male or female sexual identities via sexual reassignment surgery and/or hormone replacement (Horvath, Iantaffi, Swinbrune-Romine, & Bockting, 2014). Regardless of their choice to live in or out of the gender binary, transgender individuals face significant minority stressors that place them at increased risk of health and mental health issues (Bockting et al., 2013; Fredriksen-Goldsen et al., 2014; Horvath et al., 2014). Such minority stressors are composed of internal stressors related to gender identity development and external forces of stigma and discrimination (Cashore & Tuason, 2009; Devor, 2004; Levitt & Hiestand, 2005; Levitt & Ippolito, 2014a, 2014b).

Theoretical framework

Minority stress theory

Illan Meyer's minority stress theory is an explanatory framework for understanding how chronic societally based stressors can lead to health risks among minority populations (Meyer, 2003). Studies indicate that chronic exposures to prejudice, discrimination, and maltreatment render sexual minorities at high risk for negative health outcomes (Herman, 2013; Kertzner, Meyer, Frost, & Stirratt, 2009; Meyer, 2003). Specific stressors that transgender individuals face include barriers to obtaining gender-affirmative state- and federal-issued identification, accessing transgender-affirmative health care, accessing gender-segregated public accommodations, and gaining full federal protections from discrimination (Badgett, Lau, Sears, & Ho, 2007; Cahill, South, & Spade, 2000; Johnson, 2013).

Despite research that indicates high levels of discrimination and violence toward transgender populations (Diaz, Ayala, Bein, Henne, & Marin, 2001; Factor & Rothblum, 2008; Gordon & Meyer, 2007; Grant et al., 2011; Mizock & Lewis, 2008; Stotzer, 2009), there has been limited research that applies minority stress theory to the experiences of transgender individuals (Garofalo, Emerson, & Mustanski, 2010; Herman, 2013; Kelleher, 2009). Minority stress theory provides a framework for understanding substance use among transgender populations as a maladaptive behavioral response for coping with stressors related to their gender identity (D'Augelli, Grossman, & Starks, 2006; Friedman, Koeske, Silvestre, Korr, & Sites, 2006; McCabe, Bostwick, Hughes, West, & Boyd, 2010; Meyer, 2003). Specifically, there is a need to apply minority stress theory to further contextualize transgender experiences within gender-segregated public accommodations such as inpatient addiction treatment centers (Garofalo et al., 2010; Herman, 2013; Kelleher, 2009). This includes gathering data on the prevalence, frequency, and types of stressors experienced by transgender populations in inpatient addiction treatment and measuring related effects on client treatment retention and completion.

Transgressing gender norms

The rapid evolution of gender as a social construct has been challenging essentialist ideas that place gender identity, gender roles, gender expression, and sexual orientation within the gender binary (Mizock & Hopwood, 2016; Nagoshi et al., 2014). Gender norms are social expectations around an individual's roles and behaviors based on a gender binary understanding of sex and gender (Mizock & Hopwood, 2016; Nagoshi, Brzuzy, & Terrell, 2012; Nagoshi et al., 2014; Norton & Herek, 2013). Transgenderism transgresses the gender binary by presenting variations in gender identity and behavior (Mizock & Hopwood, 2016; Nagoshi et al., 2014; Stryker, 2008; Williams, Weinberg, & Rosenberger, 2013).

Challenging conventional gender norms can trigger public fear based on perceived threats to heteronormative patriarchal systems of privilege, which can lead to the transphobic mistreatment of transgender and gender nonconforming individuals (Mizock & Hopwood, 2016). Transphobia involves stigma, prejudice, and violence toward transgender individuals (Grant et al., 2011; Mizock & Hopwood, 2016; Mizock & Lewis, 2008). The transgressing of traditional gender norms by transgender populations is central to the present debate regarding transgender individuals' rights within public accommodations. Furthermore, this debate must consider how transphobic and homophobic exposures within gender-segregated public accommodations affect the mental and behavioral health of transgender individuals.

Transgender access to gender-segregated bathrooms

Background

There is a long history of segregation of bathroom facilities in the United States. In 1887, Massachusetts became the first state to require gendersegregated bathrooms as a reaction to women entering the workplace. In 1896, the Supreme Court ruling in *Plessy v. Ferguson* concluded that racial segregation is legal in all public spaces, including bathrooms. The segregation of bathrooms by race continued until the Civil Rights Act (1964), which banned segregation in public facilities based on race and national origin. The Americans with Disabilities Act (1990) provided disabled individuals with more accessible public restroom options.

There are a multitude of facilities and spaces throughout the United States that are gender segregated with the intention of providing a sense of safety and privacy to male and female patrons alike (Herman, 2013). Gender binarism is central to gender segregation in that individuals are expected to use a bathroom that aligns with the sex they were assigned at birth, to the exclusion of transgender and gender nonconforming populations. Recently, transgender activists and allies have been advocating for the right of transgender individuals to access bathrooms that correspond with their gender identity. Despite some recent legislative advances in promoting equal access to public accommodations regardless of gender identity, bathrooms continue to marginalize transgender and gender nonconforming individuals. There is currently no federal or state legislation that grants and protects the rights of transgender individuals to use bathrooms that align with their gender identity. Without this protection, transgender individuals continue to face the risk of harassment, discrimination, and violence within public bathrooms.

Effect of gender-segregated facilities on transgender populations

There is evidence of bias against transgender individuals in residential social service centers relating to gender-segregated facilities (Herman, 2013; Minter & Daley, 2003; Mottet & Ohle, 2003; Stotzer, Silverschanz, & Wilson, 2013). Herman (2013) conducted a Washington, DC-based survey using convenience sampling to explore the experiences of transgender and gender nonconforming individuals in bathrooms. The study found that 70% of respondents reported being denied access to or being harassed when trying to use bathrooms that aligned with their gender identity (Herman, 2013). The Transgender Law Center (TLC) partnered with the National Center for Lesbian Rights (NCLR) to conduct a San Francisco-based survey of transgender individuals around their experiences with gender-segregated restrooms (Minter & Daley, 2003). The results found that 63% of respondents reported experiencing harassment within and/or denied access to bathrooms (Minter & Daley, 2003). Cavanagh (2010) published Queering Bathrooms: Gender, Sexuality, and the Hygienic Imagination, which brought attention to findings from 100 interviews with LGBTI individuals who reported widespread experiences with prejudice and discrimination within bathrooms.

The cumulative effect of initial research efforts serves as a starting point for gathering evidence around the effects of gender segregation on transgender populations. These studies present limitations in generalizability due to sampling methods and geographical constraints. Further examination is also needed to determine the additional effect of racism on discriminatory experiences within gender-segregated public accommodations.

Gender-segregated addiction treatment centers

There remains a high rate of substance use disorder within the transgender population (Operario et al., 2011; Operario & Nemoto, 2005; Ramirez-Valles et al., 2008), yet they have numerous problems engaging in addiction treatment (Cochran & Cauce, 2006; Lombardi & Van Servellen, 2000; Lurie, 2005; Sperber et al., 2005). It is estimated that approximately 50% of transgender individuals avoided addiction treatment because of anticipated exposures to stigma (Cochran & Cauce, 2006; Sperber et al., 2005).

Inpatient addiction treatment programs have been designed to treat cisgender populations, leaving addiction facilities underprepared to serve transgender populations (Mayer et al., 2008). As a result, transgender individuals face unique barriers when seeking and engaging in addiction treatment, including gender-segregated treatment, institutional biases, and stigmatized beliefs among health care professionals (Cochran & Cauce, 2006; Eliason & Hughes, 2004; Herman, 2013; Holman & Goldberg, 2006; Lombardi & Van Servellen, 2000; Lurie, 2005; Lyons et al., 2015; Senreich, 2011; Sperber et al., 2005; Stotzer et al., 2013).

Unfortunately, research indicates a lack of cultural competence among addiction treatment staff leading to potentially ineffective if not harmful outcomes for transgender clients (Lyons et al., 2015; Nuttbrock, 2012; Rachlin, Green, & Lombardi, 2008). Rachlin et al. (2008) indicate that less than 5% of counselors and administrators in addiction treatment programs are formally trained to provide culturally competent care to transgender populations. In a qualitative study using in-depth semistructured interviews with 34 transgender individuals in Vancouver, Canada, Lyons et al. (2015) found that "enacted and felt stigma" experienced by transgender individuals can result in negative treatment experiences. Lyons et al. (2015) identified that participants who reported stigmatized experiences were more likely to leave treatment prematurely compared to participants who felt supported within the treatment process.

In his seminal work on stigma, Goffman (1963) characterized a stigmatized individual as being perceived by those within dominant social groups as having "an undesirable difference." Link and Phelan (2001) furthered this claim and conceptualized stigma as manifesting in individual, interpersonal, and structural forms. Specific to stigma-based barriers to engaging in and benefiting from addiction treatment, transgender populations must contend with interpersonal stigma among treatment providers and client populations

as well as structural stigma through transphobic institutional policies (Lyons et al., 2015; Senreich, 2011). Interpersonal and structural stigmatic exposures can, in turn, deepen internalized stigma, reinforcing a lifetime of experience with societal transphobia (Bradford et al., 2013; Cruz, 2014), potentially triggering an exacerbation of substance use issues—the very problem for which transgender individuals sought treatment.

Recommendations for inpatient addiction treatment service providers

Central to the gender-segregated components of inpatient addiction treatment are the persisting stigmatizing attitudes and beliefs of a cisnormative society manifesting within an institutional setting. This includes transphobic stigma and discrimination delivered through structural and interpersonal means. To provide for greater inclusivity of the transgender population within inpatient addiction treatment, there is a need to address both structural and interpersonal forms of transphobic stigma. First, this includes dismantling institutional policies around gender segregation that alienate and discriminate against transgender populations. This includes policies that conflate sex and gender, designating transgender individuals to facilities and program components on the basis of their birth sex as opposed to identified gender. In addition, structural transphobia within inpatient addiction treatment centers can exist in the form of a lack of protections for transgender populations. Thus, explicit policies should be instituted or enhanced to provide full protections for transgender clients against discrimination or violence, including related to their right to use facilities and participate in treatment components that align with their gender identity and their right to gender expression. Finally, inpatient addiction treatment centers should seek to reduce stigma toward transgender populations among staff through supervision and training on effective clinical work with transgender populations. There remains a need for stronger institutional commitments to ensuring the provision of culturally competent services to transgender populations in addiction treatment, including creating accountability for staff to confront their stigmatizing beliefs and to build the necessary skills, sensitivities, and knowledge for effective work with this high-risk population (Burdge, 2007; Eliason & Hughes, 2004; Lurie, 2005).

Conclusion

The growing public discourse around the rights of transgender individuals in public accommodations indicates an immediate need for public and private institutions to examine their policies around gender-segregated facilities. For inpatient addiction treatment centers, this includes a need to examine how gender-segregated structural and programmatic components marginalize transgender clients and affect their treatment outcomes. Current research indicates that addiction treatment centers do not adequately support the needs of transgender populations due to heteronormative institutional practices, gender-segregated structural barriers, and cultural incompetence among staff and administrators (Cochran & Cauce, 2006; Eliason & Hughes, 2004; Herman, 2013; Holman & Goldberg, 2006; Lombardi & Van Servellen, 2000; Lurie, 2005; Lyons et al., 2015; Senreich, 2011; Sperber et al., 2005; Stotzer et al., 2013).

There are opportunities for research around the gender-segregated structural and programmatic barriers faced by transgender individuals in addiction treatment. This includes examining the effect of gender segregation in public accommodations on the mental health, behavioral health, and substance use behaviors of transgender individuals. Quantitative research is particularly needed to understand the prevalence, frequency, and types of distal stressors experienced by transgender populations in inpatient addiction treatment and the ramifications to client treatment retention and completion. There is also a need for research exploring the relationship between structural transphobic stigma, interpersonal transphobic stigma, and internalized transphobic stigma among transgender clients in addiction treatment. Research should also explore the extent to which transgender-specific programs are more, equally, or less effective than efforts to integrate transgender individuals into general addiction treatment populations. In addition, research should consider the intersectionality of gender identity with race, sex, and class as correlates with the experience of minority stress within gender-segregated settings. Finally, there should be ongoing scholarly discourse around and critique of the gender binary system for its marginalizing effects on transgender and gender nonconforming individuals (Burdge, 2007).

For three decades, addiction treatment centers have significantly evolved in their approach toward inclusivity, initially promoting ethnic diversity (Philleo & Brisbare, 1997), later expanding toward women (Stevens & Wexler, 1998), and most recently toward sexual minority populations (Van Den Bergh & Crisp, 2004). However, to advertise inclusivity with the absence of policies that protect the safety, growth, and integrity of all clients is to provide a false promise to referring professionals and current and prospective clients alike. It is vital that the scholarly community produce research around the effects of gender segregation to inform the development of policy and practices that can improve the addiction treatment experiences of transgender populations.

References

American Psychological Association Task Force on Gender Identity & Gender Variance. (2008). *Report on the task force on gender identity and gender variance*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

10 👄 S. MATSUZAKA

Americans with Disabilities Act. (1990). 42 U.S.C. § 12201-12213.

- Badgett, M., Lau, H., Sears, B., & Ho, D. (2007). Bias in the workplace: Consistent evidence of sexual orientation and gender identity discrimination. Retrieved from: http://williams institute.law.ucla.edu/wp-content/uploads/Badgett-Sears-Lau-Ho-Bias-in-the-Workplace-Jun-2007.pdf
- Bockting, W. O. (1999). From construction to context: Gender through the eyes of the transgendered. *SIECUS Report*, 28(1), 3–7.
- Bockting, W. O., Miner, M. H., Swinburne-Romine, R., Hamilton, A., & Coleman, E. (2013). Stigma, mental health, and resilience in an online sample of the U.S. transgender population. *American Journal of Public Health*, 103, 943–951. doi:10.2105/ajph.2013.301241
- Bradford, J., Reisner, S. L., Honnold, J. A., & Xavier, J. (2013). Experiences of transgenderrelated discrimination and implications for health: Results from the Virginia transgender health initiative study. *American Journal of Public Health*, 103(10), 1820–1829.
- Budge, S. L., Adelson, J. L., & Howard, K. (2013). Anxiety and depression in transgender individuals: The roles of transition status, loss, social support, and coping. *Journal of Counseling* and Clinical Psychology, 81(3), 545–557. doi:10.1037/a0031774
- Burdge, B. J. (2007). Bending gender, ending gender: Theoretical foundations for social work practice with the transgender community. *Social Work*, *52*(3), 243–250. doi:10.1093/sw/52.3.243
- Cahill, S. R., South, K., & Spade, J. (2000). Outing age: Public policy issues affecting gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender elders. New York, NY: National Gay and Lesbian Task Force Foundation.
- Cashore, C., & Tuason, T. G. (2009). Negotiating the binary: Identity and social justice for bisexual and transgender individuals. *Journal of Gay and Lesbian Social Services*, 21, 374–401. doi:10.1080/10538720802498405
- Cavanagh, S. (2010). Queering bathrooms: Gender, sexuality, and the hygienic imagination. Toronto, Ontario: University of Toronto Press.
- Civil Rights Act. (1964). 7 U.S.C. § 241.
- Clements-Nolle, K., Marx, R., Guzman, R., & Katz, M. (2001). HIV prevalence, risk behaviors, health care use, and mental health status of transgender persons: Implications for public health intervention. *American Journal of Public Health*, 91, 915–921. doi:10.2105/ajph.91.6.915
- Cochran, B. N., & Cauce, A. M. (2006). Characteristics of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender individuals entering substance abuse treatment. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 30, 135–146. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2005.11.009
- Conron, K. J., Mimiaga, M. J., & Landers, S. J. (2010). A population-based study of sexual orientation identity and gender differences in adult health. *American Journal of Public Health*, 100, 1953–1960. doi:10.2105/ajph.2009.174169
- Cruz, T. M. (2014). Assessing access to care for transgender and gender nonconforming people: A consideration of diversity in combating discrimination. *Social Science & Medicine*, *110*, 65–73.
- D'Augelli, A. R., Grossman, A. H., & Starks, M. T. (2006). Childhood gender atypicality, victimization, and PTSD among lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, *21*, 1462–1482. doi:10.1177/0886260506293482
- Devor, A. H. (2004). Witnessing and mirroring: A fourteen stage model of transsexual identity formation. *Journal of Gay and Lesbian Psychotherapy*, 8, 41–67. doi:10.1080/19359705. 2004.9962366
- Diamond, L., & Butterworth, M. (2008). Questioning gender and sexual identity: Dynamic links over time. Sex Roles, 59, 365–376. doi:10.1007/s11199-008-9425-3
- Diamond, L., Pardo, S. T., & Butterworth, M. (2011). Transgender experience and identity. In S. J. Schowartz K. Luyckx, & V. L. Vignoles (Eds.), *Handbook of identity theory and research* (Vol. 2, pp. 329–647). New York, NY: Springer.

- Diaz, R., Ayala, G., Bein, E., Henne, J., & Marin, B. (2001). The impact of homophobia, poverty and racism on the mental health of gay and bisexual Latino men: Findings from 3 US cities. *American Journal of Public Health*, 91, 927–932. doi:10.2105/ajph.91.6.927
- Dilley, J. A., Simmons, K. W., Boysun, M. J., Pizancani, B. A., & Stark, M. J. (2010). Demonstrating the importance and feasibility of including sexual orientation in public health surveys: Health disparities in the Pacific Northwest. *American Journal of Public Health*, 100, 460–467. doi:10.2105/ajph.2007.130336
- Edwards, J. W., Fisher, D. G., & Reynolds, G. L. (2007). Male-to-female transgender and transsexual clients of HIV service programs in Los Angeles County, California. *American Journal* of Public Health, 97(6), 1030–1033. doi:10.2105/ajph.2006.097717
- Eliason, M. J., & Hughes, T. (2004). Treatment counselor's attitudes about lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgendered clients: Urban vs. rural settings. Substance Use & Misuse, 39(4), 625-644. doi:10.1081/ja-120030063
- Factor, R., & Rothblum, E. (2008). Exploring gender identity and community among three groups of transgender individuals in the United States: MTFs, FTMs, and genderqueers. *Health Sociology Review*, 17, 235–253. doi:10.5172/hesr.451.17.3.235
- Flores, A. R., Herman, J. L., Gates, G. J., & Brown, N. T. (2016). *How many adults identify as transgender in the United States*. Retrieved from: http://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/category/research/transgender-issues/#sthash.waEW9kDg.dpuf
- Fredriksen-Goldsen, K. I., Simoni, J. M., Kim, H.-J., Lehavot, K., Walters, K. L., Yang, J., ... Hoy-Ellis, C. P. (2014). The health equity promotion model: Reconceptualization of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) health disparities. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 84(6), 653–663.
- Friedman, M. S., Koeske, G. F., Silvestre, A. J., Korr, W. S., & Sites, E. W. (2006). The impact of gender-role nonconforming behavior, bullying, and social support on suicidality among gay male youth. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 38, 621–623. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2005.04.014
- Garofalo, R., Deleon, J., Osmer, E., Doll, M., & Harper, G. W. (2006). Overlooked, misunderstood and at risk: Exploring the lives and HIV risk of ethnic minority male-to-female transgender youth. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 38, 230–236.
- Garofalo, R., Emerson, E. M., & Mustanski, B. S. (2010). Mental health disorders, psychological distress, and suicidality in a diverse sample of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youths. *American Journal of Public Health*, 100(12), 2426–2432. doi:10.2105/ajph.2009. 178319
- Goffman, E. (1963). Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity. New York, NY: Simon & Schuster.
- Gordon, A. R., & Meyer, H. (2007). Gender nonconformity as a target of prejudice, discrimination, and violence against LGB individuals. *Journal of LGBT Health Research*, *3*(3), 55–71. doi:10.1080/15574090802093562
- Grant, J. M. (2010). Outing age: Public policy issues affecting lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender elders. Washington, DC: National Gay and Lesbian Task Force Policy Institute.
- Grant, J. M., Mottet, L. A., Tanis, J., Harrison, J., Herman, J. L., & Keisling, M. (2011). *Injustice at every turn: A report of the National Transgender discrimination survey*. Washington, DC: National Center for Transgender Equality and National Gay and Lesbian Task Force.
- Hepp, U., Kraemer, B., Schnyder, U., Miller, N., & Delsignore, A. (2005). Psychiatric comorbidity in gender identity disorder. *Journal of Psychosomtic Research*, 58, 259–261.
- Herbst, J., Jacobs, E., Finlayson, T., McKleroy, V., Neumann, M., & Crepaz, N. (2008). Estimating HIV prevalence and risk behaviors of transgender persons in the United States: A systematic review. *AIDS and Behavior*, 12, 1–17.
- Herman, J. (2013). Gendered restrooms and minority stress: The public regulation of gender and its impact on transgender people's lives. Los Angeles, CA: UCLA Williams Institute.

12 👄 S. MATSUZAKA

- Holman, C. W., & Goldberg, J. M. (2006). Social and medical transgender case advocacy. *International Journal of Transgenderism*, 9(3-4), 197-217. doi:10.1300/j485v09n03_09
- Horvath, K. J., Iantaffi, A., Swinbrune-Romine, R., & Bockting, W. (2014). A comparison of mental health, substance use, and sexual risk behaviors between rural and non-rural transgender persons. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 61, 1117–1130. doi:10.1080/00918369. 2014.872502
- Institute of Medicine. (2011). The health of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender people: Building a foundation for better understanding. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Johnson, J. R. (2013). Cisgender privilege, intersectional, and the criminalization of CeCe McDonald: Why intercultural communication needs transgender studies. *Journal of International Intercultural Communications*, 6(2), 135–144. doi:10.1080/17513057.2013. 776094
- Kelleher, C. (2009). Minority stress and health: Implications for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and questioning (LGBTQ) young people. *Counseling Psychology Quarterly*, 22(4), 373–379. doi:10.1080/09515070903334995
- Kertzner, R. M., Meyer, I. H., Frost, D. M., & Stirratt, M. J. (2009). Social and psychological well-being in lesbians, gay men, and bisexuals: The effects of race, gender, age, and sexual identity. *The American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 79(4), 500–510. doi:10.1037/a0016848
- Lee, R. (2000). Health care problems of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender patients. Western Journal of Medicine, 172(6), 403-408. doi:10.1136/ewjm.172.6.403
- Lenning, E., & Buist, C. L. (2013). Social, psychological and economic challenges faced by transgender individuals and their significant others: Gaining insight through personal narratives. *Culture, Health & Sexuality*, 15(1), 44–55. doi:10.1080/13691058.2012.738431
- Levitt, H. M., & Hiestand, K. (2005). Enacting a gendered sexuality: Butch and femme perspectives. *Journal of Constructivist Psychology*, 18, 39–51.
- Levitt, H. M., & Ippolito, M. R. (2014a). Being transgender: Navigating minority stressors and developing authentic self-presentation. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 38, 46–64. doi:10.1177/0361684313501644
- Levitt, H. M., & Ippolito, M. R. (2014b). Being transgender: The experience of transgender identity development. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 61, 1727–1758. doi:10.1080/00918369. 2014.951262
- Link, B. G., & Phelan, J. C. (2001). Conceptualizing stigma. Annual Review of Sociology, 27, 363–385. doi:10.1146/annurev.soc.27.1.363
- Liu, R. T., & Mustanski, B. (2012). Suicidal ideation and self-harm in lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender youth. American Journal of Preventative Medicine, 42(3), 221–228. doi:10.4016/ 39084.01
- Lombardi, E. L., & van Servellen, G. (2000). Building culturally sensitive substance abuse treatment programs for transgendered populations. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 19(3), 291–296. doi:10.1016/s0740-5472(00)00114-8
- Lurie, S. (2005). Identifying training needs of health-care providers related to treatment and care of transgendered patients: A qualitative needs assessment conducted in New England. *International Journal of Transgenderism*, 3(2/3), 93–112. doi:10.1300/j485v08n02_09
- Lyons, T., Shannon, K., Pierre, L., Small, W., Krusi, A., & Kerr, T. (2015). A qualitative study of transgender individuals' experiences in residential addiction treatment settings: Stigma and inclusivity. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy, 10, 17.* doi:10.1186/s13011-015-0015-4
- Mallory, S., & Sears, B. (2016). Discrimination, diversity, and development: The legal and economic implications of North Carolina's HB2. Retrieved from: http://williamsinstitute.law.ucla/ research/discrimination-diversity-and-development-the-legal-and-economic-implicationsof-north-carolinas-hb2/#sthash.an72ExnZ.dpuf

- Mayer, K. H., Brandford, J. B., Makandon, H. J., Stall, R., Goldhammer, H., & Landers, S. (2008). Sexual and gender minority health: What we know and what needs to be done. *American Journal of Public Health*, 98(6), 989–995. doi:10.2105/ajph.2007.127811
- McCabe, S. E., Bostwick, W. B., Hughes, T. L., West, B. T., & Boyd, C. J. (2010). The relationship between discrimination and substance use disorders among lesbian, gay and bisexual adults in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*, 100, 1946–1952.
- Meier, S. C., & Labuski, C. (2013). The (Mis)measure of trans. *Transgender Studies Quarterly*, 2(1), 13-33. doi:10.1215/23289252-2848868
- Meier, S. C., Pardo, S. T., Labuski, C., & Babcock, J. (2013). Measures of clinical health among female-to-male transgender persons as a function of sexual orientation. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 42, 463–474. doi:10.1007/s10508-012-0052-2
- Meyer, I. H. (2003). Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: Conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(5), 674–697. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.129.5.674
- Minter, S., & Daley, C. (2003). Trans realities: A legal needs assessment of San Francisco's transgender communities. San Francisco, CA: National Center for Lesbian Rights and Transgender Law Center.
- Mizock, L., & Fleming, M. (2011). Transgender and gender variant populations with mental illness: Implications for clinical care. *Professional Psychology*, 42, 208–213. doi:10.1037/a0022522
- Mizock, L., & Hopwood, R. (2016). Conflation and interdependence in the intersection of gender and sexuality among transgender individuals. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*, 3(1), 91–103. doi:10.1037/sgd0000157
- Mizock, L., & Lewis, T. K. (2008). Trauma in transgender populations: Risk, resilience, and clinical care. *Journal of Emotional Abuse*, 8, 335–354. doi:10.1080/10926790802262523
- Mottet, L., & Ohle, J. M. (2003). Transitioning our shelters: A guide to making homeless shelters safe for transgender people. Washington, DC: National Gay and Lesbian Task Force.
- Mustanski, B. S., Garofalo, R., & Emerson, E. M. (2010). Mental health disorders, psychological distress, and suicidality in a diverse sample of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youths. *Research and Practice*, 100, 2426–2432. doi:10.2105/ajph.2009.178319
- Nagoshi, J. L., Brzuzy, S., & Terrell, H. T. (2012). Perceptions of gender roles, gender identity, and sexual orientation among transgender individuals. *Feminism & Psychology*, 22, 405-422.
- Nagoshi, J. L., Nagoshi, C. T., Terrell, H. K., & Brzuzzy, S. (2014). The complex negotiations of gender roles, gender identity, and sexual orientation among heterosexual, gay/lesbian, and transgender individuals. *Journal of Ethnographic & Qualitative Research*, 8, 205–221.
- Nemoto, T., Bodeker, B., & Iwamoto, M. (2011). Social support, exposure to violence, and transphobia: Correlates of depression among male-to-female transgender women with a history of sex work. *American Journal of Public Health*, 1010, 1980–1988. doi:10.2105/ ajph.2010.197285
- Norton, A. T., & Herek, G. M. (2013). Heterosexuals' attitudes toward transgender people: Findings from a national probability sample of U.S. adults. *Sex Roles*, 68, 738–753. doi:10.1007/s11199-011-0110-6
- Nuttbrock, L. A. (2012). Culturally competent substance abuse treatment with transgender persons. *Journal of Addictive Diseases*, 31(3), 236–241. doi:10.1080/10550887.2012.694600
- Nuttbrock, L., Hwahng, S., Bockting, W., Rosenblum, A., Mason, M., Macri, M., & Becker, J. (2009). Lifetime risk factors for HIV/sexually transmitted infections among male-to-female transgender persons. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome*, 52(3), 417–421.
- Nuttbrock, L., Rosenblum, A., Blumenstein, R. (2002). Transgender identity affirmation and mental health. *The International Journal of Transgenderism*, 6(4).

- 14 👄 S. MATSUZAKA
- Operario, D., & Nemoto, T. (2005). Sexual risk behavior and substance use among a sample of Asian Pacific Islander transgendered women. *AIDS Education and Prevention*, 17(5), 430–443. doi:10.1521/aeap.2005.17.5.430
- Operario, D., Nemoto, T., Iwamoto, M., & Moore, T. (2011). Risk of HIV and unprotected sexual behavior in male primary partners of transgender women. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 40(6), 1255–1261. doi:10.1007/s10508-011-9781-x
- Philleo, J., & Brisbare, F. L. (1997). Cultural competence in substance abuse providers. Washington, DC: NASW Press.
- Ramirez-Valles, J., Garcia, D., Campbell, R. T., Diaz, R. M., & Heckathorn, D. D. (2008). HIV infection, sexual risk behavior, and substance use among Latino gay and bisexual men and transgender persons. *American Journal of Public Health*, 98(6), 1036–1042. doi:10.2105/ ajph.2006.102624
- Rachlin, K., Green, J., & Lombardi, E. (2008). Utilization of health care among female-to-male transgender individuals in the United States. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 54(3), 243–258.
- Reisner, S. L., Perkovich, B., & Mimiaga, M. J. (2010). A mixed methods study of the sexual health needs of New England transmen who have sex with nontransgender men. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*, 24(8), 1–14. doi:10.1089/apc.2010.0059
- Schulden, J. D., Song, B. W., Barros, A., Mares-DelGrasso, A., Martin, C. W., Ramirez, R., Heffelfinger, J. D. (2008). Rapid HIV testing in transgender communities by communitybased organizations in three cities. *Public Health Reports*, 123, 101–114.
- Senreich, E. (2011). The substance abuse treatment experiences of a small sample of transgender clients. *Journal of Social Work Practice in the Addictions*, 11, 295–299. doi:10.1080/ 1533256x.2011.592795
- Sperber, J., Landers, S., & Lawrence, S. (2005). Access to health care for transgendered persons: Results of a needs assessment in Boston. *International Journal of Transgenderism*, 8, 75–91. doi:10.1300/j485v08n02_08
- Stevens, S. J., & Wexler, H. K. (Eds.). (1998). Women and substance abuse: Gender transparency. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Press.
- Stotzer, R. L. (2009). Violence against transgender people: A review of United States data. Aggression and Violent Behavior, 14, 170-179. doi:10.1016/j.avb.2009.01.006
- Stotzer, R. L., Silverschanz, P., & Wilson, A. (2013). Gender identity and social services: Barriers to care. *Journal of Social Service Research*, 39, 63–77. doi:10.1080/01488376.2011. 637858
- Stryker, S. (2008). Transgender history. Berkeley, CA: Seal.
- U.S. Department of Health, & Human Services (USDHHS). (2012). *Healthy people 2020 objectives*. Retrieved from: http://www.heatlhypeople.gov/2020/topicsobjectives2020/default.aspx
- Valentine, D. (2007). *Imagining Transgender: An Ethnography of a Category*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Van Den Bergh, V., & Crisp, C. (2004). Defining culturally competent practice with sexual minorities: Implications for social work education and practice. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 40, 221–239.
- Williams, C., Weinberg, M., & Rosenberger, G. (2013). Trans men: Embodiments, identities, and sexualities. Sociological Forum, 28, 719–741. doi:10.1111/socf.12056